

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,464	10/09/2003	Valery Kanevsky	10021270-1	9219
AGILENT TE	7590 04/22/200 CHNOLOGIES, INC.	EXAMINER		
Legal Department, DL429			CHERRY, STEPHEN J	
P.O. Box 7599	perty Administration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		2863	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/682,464 KANEVSKY ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Stephen J. Cherry 2863 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period fo		on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
WHIC - Exter after	HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ( asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- Failu	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January	<u>v 2008</u> .
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This actio	n is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance ex	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims	
4)🛛	Claim(s) 13-25 is/are pending in the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
	Claim(s) <u>13-19,22 and 25</u> is/are rejected.	
	Claim(s) <u>20-21</u> , and <u>23-24</u> is/are objected to.	
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.
Applicati	on Papers	
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted	or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori ☐ All b)	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)L	<ol> <li>All b) Some c) None or.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	a boon received
	Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have     Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	··· —
	application from the International Bureau (PC	
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	* **
		Solution copies netrossiton.
Attachment	t(s)	
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

U.S. Pati	ent and	Trade	mark Off	108	
			08.06		

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2863

## DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-19, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 2 344 026 to Spicer.

Regarding claim 13, Spicer discloses s measurement method using mobile probes comprising:

providing a model to a mobile measurement device ('026, page 4, line 21 and page 6, line 17);

making measurements of model variables with said mobile measurement device ('026, page ('026, page 5, line 18 and page 6, line 16);

evaluating, by said mobile measurement device, new measurements, using said model ('026, page 6, line 1 and page 6, line 19); and

augmenting said model using said new measurements ('026, page 6, line 7 and abstract, "use by both user and service provider" requires that ability condition was saved and made available to both user and service provider, thereby augmenting provided model).

Regarding claim 14, and in view of the rejection of claim 13, Spicer discloses the method of claim 13 wherein said model on said mobile measurement device is augmented ('026, page 6, line 7 and abstract, "use by both <u>user</u> and service provider"

Art Unit: 2863

requires that ability condition was saved and made available to the model in the mobile device, thereby augmenting the model).

Regarding claim 15, and in view of the rejection of claim 13, Spicer discloses the method of claim 13 wherein a model in a measurement system that provided said model to said mobile measurement device is augmented ('026, page 6, line 7 and abstract, "use by both user and service provider" requires that ability condition was saved and made available to the service provider, thereby augmenting the service provider model model).

Regarding claim 16, and in view of the rejection of claim 13, Spicer discloses the method of claim 13 wherein said model provided to said mobile measurement device comprises a portion of a central measurement system model ('026, page 4, line 22, and page 6, line 17).

Regarding claim 17, and in view of the rejection of claim 13, Spicer discloses the method of claim 13 wherein said evaluating further comprises determining if the new measurements reinforce said model, and said augmenting comprises noting said reinforcement in said model ('026, page 6, lines 1-12, storage of "ability" condition resulting from comparison, positive comparison results are interpreted as reinforcing the model).

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claim 18, and in view of the rejection of claim 13, Spicer discloses the method of claim 13 wherein said evaluating further comprises determining if the new measurements detract from said model ('026, page 6, lines 1-12, storage of "ability" condition resulting from comparison, negative comparison results are interpreted as detracting from the model).

Regarding claim 19, and in view of the rejection of claim 18, Spicer discloses the method of claim 18 wherein said evaluating further comprises evaluating the accuracy of a detracting measurement ('026, page 6, line 19, determination of confidence).

Regarding claim 22, and in view of the rejection of claim 19, Spicer discloses the method of claim 19 further comprising adding new measurements to said model when said new measurements improve said model ('026, page 6, line 7).

Regarding claim 25, and in view of the rejection of claim 13, Spicer discloses the method of claim 13 wherein said mobile measurement device comprises:

communication capabilities for communicating said measurements and an augmented model to a measurement system ('026, page 6, line 7, results retrieved at later time by service provider requires communication capabilities);

computational resources available for carrying out said evaluation; and measurement capability ('026, page 5, line 15, "processor 204").

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/682,464

Art Unit: 2863

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20-21, and 23-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and to overcome other claim objections.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 20 recites, "discarding faulty measurements". This feature, in combination with remaining claim limitations, overcomes the prior art of record.

Claim 21 recites, "replacing existing measurements in said model with measurements that improve said model". This feature, in combination with remaining claim limitations, overcomes the prior art of record.

Claim 23 recites, "said evaluating further comprises determining if data in said model for a location of a new measurement is sufficient, and adding said new measurement to said model in response to said model having insufficient data for said location of said new measurement". This feature, in combination with remaining claim limitations, overcomes the prior art of record.

Claim 24 recites, "wherein said mobile measurement device is a wireless telephone, said variable is an RF field strength at a location and said model is a model of RF field strength for a geographical area". This feature, in combination with remaining claim limitations, overcomes the prior art of record. It is noted that Spicer discloses a system for confirming an ability of a user to receive a signal; however, in a

Art Unit: 2863

wireless telephone, the central facility is always aware of communication with remote devices

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1-31-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Spicer does not disclose augmenting a model with new measurements; however, at page 6, line 7 Spicer discloses information regarding the BER of a mobile device is provided from the mobile device to the service provider. The BER is a characterization of the communication ability of the mobile device, therefor it is considered a model because it is a characterization of a physical system. Because new information is provided to the service provider by the mobile device, the model is considered to be augmented.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2863

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Cherry whose telephone number is (571) 272-2272. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SJC

/Bryan Bui/

Page 8

Art Unit: 2863

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2863